

REPORT: Safer Halton Policy and Performance Board
DATE: 17 March 2009
REPORTING OFFICER: Strategic Director, Health & Community
SUBJECT: Safer Halton Policy and Performance Board Work Programme 2009/10
WARDS: Boroughwide

1.0 PURPOSE AND CONTENT OF REPORT

The key task is for Board Members to decide on a work programme of 2 or 3 Topics to be undertaken in the next municipal year.

2.0 RECOMMENDED: that the Policy and Performance Board finalise Topics to be included in the Board's 2009/10 work programme.

3.0 SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Annex 1 – Topic selection checklist.

4.0 POLICY IMPLICATIONS

The Council's priorities are further developed through the 2009/10 scrutiny topics.

5.0 OTHER IMPLICATIONS

None.

6.0 IMPLICATIONS FOR THE COUNCIL'S PRIORITIES

6.1 Children and Young People in Halton

Selection of scrutiny topics for 2009/10 leads to further development of the Council's priorities.

6.2 Employment, Learning and Skills in Halton

Selection of scrutiny topics for 2009/10 leads to further development of the Council's priorities.

6.3 A Healthy Halton

Selection of scrutiny topics for 2009/10 leads to further development of the Council's priorities.

6.4 A Safer Halton

Selection of scrutiny topics for 2009/10 leads to further development of the Council's priorities.

6.5 Halton's Urban Renewal

Selection of scrutiny topics for 2009/10 leads to further development of the Council's priorities.

7.0 RISK ANALYSIS

The main risk is in terms of capacity to undertake the work. This may be managed in the eventual selection of topics to be considered.

8.0 EQUALITY AND DIVERSITY ISSUES

All topics finally selected will take into account equality and diversity implications.

9.0 LIST OF BACKGROUND PAPERS UNDER SECTION 100D OF THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT ACT 1972

None under the meaning of the Act.

OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY WORK PROGRAMME
Topic Selection Checklist

This checklist leads the user through a reasoning process to identify a) why a topic should be explored and b) whether it makes sense to examine it through the overview and scrutiny process. More “yeses” indicate a stronger case for selecting the Topic.

#	CRITERION	Yes/No
<i>Why? Evidence for why a topic should be explored and included in the work programme</i>		
1	Is the Topic directly aligned with and have significant implications for at least 1 of Halton's 5 strategic priorities & related objectives/PIs, and/or a key central government priority?	
2	Does the Topic address an identified need or issue?	
3	Is there a high level of public interest or concern about the Topic e.g. apparent from consultation, complaints or the local press	
4	Has the Topic been identified through performance monitoring e.g. PIs indicating an area of poor performance with scope for improvement?	
5	Has the Topic been raised as an issue requiring further examination through a review, inspection or assessment, or by the auditor?	
6	Is the Topic area likely to have a major impact on resources or be significantly affected by financial or other resource problems e.g. a pattern of major overspending or persisting staffing difficulties that could undermine performance?	
7	Has some recent development or change created a need to look at the Topic e.g. new government guidance/legislation, or new research findings?	
8	Would there be significant risks to the organisation and the community as a result of <u>not</u> examining this topic?	
<i>Whether? Reasons affecting whether it makes sense to examine an identified topic</i>		
9	Scope for impact - Is the Topic something the Council can	

	actually influence, directly or via its partners? Can we make a difference?	
10	Outcomes – Are there clear improvement outcomes (not specific answers) in mind from examining the Topic and are they likely to be achievable?	
11	Cost: benefit - are the benefits of working on the Topic likely to outweigh the costs, making investment of time & effort worthwhile?	
12	Are PPBs the best way to add value in this Topic area? Can they make a distinctive contribution?	
13	Does the organisation have the capacity to progress this Topic? (e.g. is it related to other review or work peaks that would place an unacceptable load on a particular officer or team?)	
14	Can PPBs contribute meaningfully given the time available?	