### **EXECUTIVE BOARD**

At a meeting of the Executive Board on Thursday, 19 March 2009 in the Marketing Suite, Municipal Building

Present: Councillors Polhill (Vice-Chair, in the Chair), D. Cargill, Gerrard, Harris, McInerney, Nelson, Swain, Wharton and Wright

Apologies for Absence: None

Absence declared on Council business: Councillor McDermott

Officers present: M. Reaney, C. Halpin, B. Dodd, D. Johnson, I. Leivesley,

D. Parr, D. Tregea and M. Platts

Also in attendance: Councillor Hodgkinson

# ITEMS DEALT WITH UNDER POWERS AND DUTIES EXERCISABLE BY THE BOARD

Action

#### **EXB117 MINUTES**

The Minutes of the meeting held on 5<sup>th</sup> March 2009 were taken as read and signed as correct record.

### **HEALTH AND SOCIAL CARE PORTFOLIO**

EXB118 AMENDMENTS TO STANDING ORDERS RELATING TO CHANGES IN MENTAL HEALTH LEGISLATION

The Board received a report of the Strategic Director, Health and Community which described changes to mental health law that required amendments to delegated powers contained within the Council's Constitution.

The report set out a number of amendments that had been made to the Mental Health Act 1983, the implementation of the Deprivation of Liberty Standards Safeguards (DoLS) which would act as an amendment to the 2005 Mental Capacity Act and which were to be implemented from 1<sup>st</sup> April 2009.

Members were advised that the DoLS were

introduced to fill a gap in the legislation, which had been highlighted by a number of significant cases, some of which went to the European Court of Human Rights. The DoLS applied to people who lacked capacity to make their own decisions about their care and treatment, who were either in hospital or in residential or nursing care.

Members were further advised that, on occasion, there was a need to provide a level of care and protection to people which amounted to a restriction on their liberty. This might involve preventing somebody who had abused them from visiting them, or providing a security system on the entrance door of an establishment which prevented people from leaving.

Members were further advised that caselaw decided that, if these restrictions of liberty were added together in individual cases, this might amount or an actual deprivation of their liberty, without any scope for appeal to an external authority who could oversee this. This was deemed to be against their Human Rights and contracted with the position of people who were detailed under the 1983 Mental Health Act, who could appeal for a review of their case to a legal Tribunal.

It was noted that a new, and very complex legal process had been established which required Local Authorities to consider any potential Deprivation of Liberty under these circumstances, and to issue a time-limited authorisation for this as appropriate. In addition, a new staff role was established, known as Bests Interests Assessor, who was required to complete at least one of the six assessments required as part of the authorisation process.

These two new levels of decision-making – authorising the Deprivation of Liberty and Best Interests Assessor – would need to be included in the Scheme of Delegation. Along with the approval of Approved Mental Health Professionals (AMHP's), it was recommended that this was delegated to the Operational Director level, with the expectation that the roles themselves would be further delegated on as appropriate.

### RESOLVED: That

- (1) the content of the report be noted and approved; and
  - (2) the additions and amendments to the Scheme of Delegation, as proposed in paragraphs 3.1.4 and

### **LEADERS PORTFOLIO**

### EXB119 UPDATED LOCAL AREA AGREEMENT - KEY DECISION

The Board received a report of the Strategic Director Corporate and Policy which set out the annual review and refresh of the Local Area Agreement (LAA).

Members were advised that in 2008-11 LAA was undergoing its first annual refresh. The focus of this refresh was to:

- Agree targets for those indicators for which no baseline information was available last May. This included all those indicators measured by surveys conducted in the autumn of 2008;
- Review a number of targets for which local baseline information was used and which now needed to be updated in light of new national data sets; and
- taken the opportunity to review a number of indicators with local partners for which targets set nationally for Halton were clearly unachievable.

Members were advised that the Government had acknowledged that the ability to meet employment related targets would be affected by the recession. It had been agreed that these would be reviewed next year. Members were further advised of the timetable for the finalisation of the agreement with Government, as set out in the report.

It was noted that the Council had delegated to this Board, the approval of the LAA. Given the timetable set out in the report and that as there was not another Board meeting until 2<sup>nd</sup> April 2009 it was recommended that delegated powers be granted for any further amendments to be made as a result of feedback from Government.

It was noted that a copy of the revised outcomes framework was attached to the report as an appendix and set out those targets which had changed since the LAA was originally approved in 2008.

Members were advised that two indicators had been deleted from the LAA. The first, NI 124 related to patients with long term conditions who were supported to live

independently and the second indicator removed was NI173, people falling out of work and onto incapacity benefits.

The Sustainable Community Strategy had a longer term vision and also contained other local indicators and targets in addition to those negotiated with the Government through the LAA.

### REASON (S) FOR DECISION

Under the Local Government Act (2007) there is a statutory duty on all local authorities to produce a Local Area Agreement to the format and timetable set down by Government.

### ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED AND REJECTED

No other options were pursued. The Agreement process is a prescriptive one and Halton has followed Government guidance.

### **IMPLEMENTATION DATE**

The Local Area Agreement will come into force when the Agreement in its final form is agreed and signed by the Minister for Local Government. This is expected to take place in April 2009.

#### **RESOLVED: That**

- (1) the revised Local Area Agreement be adopted; and
  - (2) that the Chief Executive be given delegated authority in consultation with the Leader and the relevant Portfolio-holder to make final amendments to the Local Area Agreement during the course of negotiation and approval by the Government.

## PLANNING, TRANSPORTATION, REGENERATION AND RENEWAL PORTFOLIO

# EXB120 REVIEW OF STRATEGIC REGIONAL SITES: CONSULTATION

The Board received a report of the Strategic Director, Environment which sought authority to formally respond to the North West Development Agency (NWDA) consultation on the review of Strategic Regional Sites. Members were advised that in 2005, the NWDA designated 25 sites within the North West as Strategic Regional Sites. The sites were identified on the basis of a number of points, as set out in the report.

Members were further advised that designation was important in terms of site profile, development and ability to access resources to bring them to fruition. Indeed, the consultation letter specifically stated that such sites would have priority, where necessary, for implementation in terms of all Agency resources and in Agency support for bids for other sources. Within Halton, two sites were identified – Ditton Widnes and Daresbury. With reference to Ditton, since the original designation this location was now known as 3MG and it would be recommended to the Agency that this was used in future.

It was noted that the NWDA was now reviewing the list of sites and the closing date for comments was the 27<sup>th</sup> March 2009.

The purpose of the review was to ensure sites fitted the criteria as outlined in the Regional Spatial Strategy reflected the changing nature of policy and the market context including the Stern (Review on the Economics of Climate Change) and Eddington (Transport) reports.

Members were advised that of the 25 previous sites, 7 were proposed for deletion and 15 were proposed for addition. Both Halton sites remained on the list. The sites themselves were identified in broad terms on plans with the exact boundaries to be decided at a later date. Each site had been identified with primary purpose and these were set out in the report.

Members were asked to note that of particular importance was that the new designation for Daresbury had expanded the site to take in both Daresbury Park and the Daresbury Science and Innovation Campus (DSIC) which reflected the ongoing master plan work that the Council was involved with in partnership with the DSIC.

Members were advised that the list of proposed sites made for interesting consideration. They were not all big traditional inward investor sites and included town/city centre and older industrial areas. As such, it was considered appropriate that this Council should seek through its consultation response to have an additional site designated. Looking at the substantial regeneration opportunities that

existed within the Widnes Waterfront and the town centres of Widnes and Runcorn and the development opportunities arising from the Mersey Gateway as detailed in the Mersey Gateway Regeneration Strategy, there was clear scope to bring all these together under one designation. Such an area had significant employment opportunities, was close to areas of need, was sustainably accessible and would contribute to the ongoing economic restructuring of this part of the region through assisting in a continued diversification of the local economy. Together, these provided a scale of regeneration opportunities comparable to many defined Strategic Regional Sites.

### **RESOLVED: That**

- (1) the designation of both 3MG (Ditton) and Daresbury be supported;
- (2) the NWDA be requested to designate an additional site incorporating Widnes Waterfront, Widnes and Runcorn town centres and the area detailed in the Mersey Gateway Regeneration Strategy; and
- (3) the Executive Board authorise the Strategic Director, Environment in consultation with the Portfolio holder for Planning, Transportation, Regeneration and Renewal to formally respond to the North West Development Agency consultation on the Review of Strategic Regional Sites.

## EXB121 TRANSPORT CAPITAL IMPLEMENTATION PROGRAMME 2009/10

The Board received a report of the Strategic Director, Environment, which sought approval to the inclusion of the 2009/10 Transport Capital Implementation Programme into the 2009/10 Capital Programme and the carry over of £1,608,000 of 2008/09 Local Transport Plan (LTP) Maintenance Block Borrowing Approval to 2009/10.

Members were advised that the second LTP had brought with a much less rigorous reporting requirement, based on a collaborative approach between DfT and the local authorities, to enable weaknesses in progress to be jointly addressed and strengths to be built upon.

They were further advised that this new approach required the de-coupling of the link between funding and performance, which resulted in the DfT, in its November 2007 Settlement Letter confirming the Integrated Transport

Capital block allocations for the period 2008/09 - 2010/11. The Integrated Transport allocation for 2009/10 was £1,831,000.

Similarly, three year allocations for the Highways Capital Maintenance Block, which were based on a new formula, were also notified and which were set out in the report. The Road Maintenance element of the Highways Block allocation had been reviewed in the context of Performance Indicators for highway maintenance. This led to a prioritisation of non Primary Route Network (PRN) footway reconstruction for the next two years, after which the position would be reassessed. This, in conjunction with a similar review of priorities in the revenue road maintenance programme, would provide an overall budget, for the 2009/10 and 2010/11, which would enable around a doubling in the length of footway reconstruction to be carried out each year. Over £450,000 would be available for carriageway structural maintenance, and this would continue to be supplemented by revenue funding. Performance on the principal and classified road condition indicators were not expected to fall below the top quartile during this period. as a result of re-profiling over this two year period. The Highways Capital Maintenance allocation for 2009/10 was £2,023,000.

Members were further advised that the individual schemes for the Integrated Transport and Highway Maintenance Block would be drawn from the programmes included in LTP2, which were outlined in Appendix A to the report.

It was further noted that in addition to the LTP Capital Maintenance Block, Halton was also allocated £14,288,000 of additional funding for use between 2008/09 and 2010/11. This was from the National PRN Bridge Strengthening and Maintenance allocation enable much to maintenance and inspection work on the Silver Jubilee Bridge. This funding replaced some of that identified in the SJB Major Maintenance Scheme bid, which was submitted to Government in March 2006 and on which a decision was The PRN Bridge Strengthening awaited. Maintenance allocation for 2009/10 was £4,906,000.

In order to increase the efficiency in the procurement and delivery of all bridge maintenance works in the Borough, a single partnering contractor approach had been pursued. Due to the timescales involved in developing this partnership, there was need to defer £1,608,00 of the PRB Bridge Strengthening and Maintenance allocation, from

2008/08 to 2009/10. The DfT were happy to support the principle of this proposal, but had indicated that Section 31 Grant could not be carried over from one year to the next. Instead, it was agreed for the Council to spend £1,608,000 of unallocated "Supporting Borrowing Powers" to be carried over into 2009/10 to fund the "additional" PRN Bridge Strengthening and Maintenance works and hence facilitate the revised expenditure profile. It was therefore proposed to £1,608,000 LTP of Highways Capital Maintenance "Supported Borrowing Power" approvals from 2008/09 to 2010/11. The total PRN Bridge Strengthening and Maintenance programme for 2009/10 was in the sum of £6,514,000 and a list of the provisional programme was appended to the report.

Members were advised that during 2009/10, the Council had allocated the sum of £100,000 of capital funding to enable a programme of works to be implemented to bring unadopted roads up to adoptable standards - the "Adoptions Programme". A report was to be presented to the Urban Renewal Policy and Performance Board in March setting out a proposed policy and procedure for the identification and approval of schemes and how the funding arrangements for each proposal would be determined. In addition, it was noted that the Council's Flood Defence programme comprised a range of maintenance and improvement schemes. Work would also continue to de-silt culverts and highway/land drainage systems at known flooding hotspots throughout the Borough. The Council capital Flood Defence Programme for 2009/10 was in the sum of £100,000.

Further to this, the Council's Street Lighting capital programme for 2009/10 was in the sum of £200,000. This allocation would be used for the renewal of street lighting equipment (lighting columns, lanterns, signs, bollards, etc.) and would address the replacement of age expired equipment and enable improvements to save energy.

It was proposed that the authority agree details of the programmes of work for: PRN Bridge Strengthening and Maintenance; the Adoptions; Flood Defence; and Street Lighting, for the periods 2009/10 and 2010/11, be delegated to the Strategic Director, Environment, in consultation with the Executive Board Member for Planning, Transportation, Regeneration and Renewal.

In addition, Halton's Road Safety Grant, which was the funding used to help support the Cheshire Safer Roads Partnership was also confirmed in the November 2007 Settlement Letter. The revenue element of this grant was incorporated into the area based grant. The capital element of the Road Safety Grant for 2009/10, which would be paid as a direct capital grant, was in the sum of £72,167.

Members were advised that the final Transport Capital Implementation Programme for 2009/10 would be in line with the capital budget to be agreed by the Council. This programme would be included in the Highways, Transportation and Logistics Department's Service Plan. It was noted that Halton continued to be allocated an element of De-trunked Roads Maintenance Grant, which was used to maintain the Widnes Eastern Relief Road. The De-trunked Roads Maintenance Revenue Grant for 2009/10 was £213,830 which would also be included in the area based grant allocation.

RESOLVED: That the Executive Board recommend the Council to approve:

- the incorporation of the Transport Implementation Programme for 2009/10, in the sum of £10,840,200, into the Council's 2009/10 Capital Programme;
- the carrying forward of £1,608,000 of the Local Transport Plan's Highways Maintenance Borrowing Power approvals for 2008/09 into 2009/10, to facilitate the re-profiling of works associated with the Primary Route Network Bridge Strengthening and Maintenance allocation; and
- (3)the authority to agree the detail of the programmes of work for: Primary Route Network Bridge Strengthening and Maintenance: Adoptions; Food Defence; and Street Lighting, for the period 2009/10 and 2010/11, be delegated to Director. Environment. the Strategic consultation with the Executive Board Member for Planning, Transportation, Regeneration Renewal.

# EXB122 PARTIAL REVIEW OF REGIONAL SPATIAL STRATEGY: PROVISION OF PERMANENT AND TRANSIT PITCHES FOR GYPSIES AND TRAVELLERS IN HALTON

The Board received a report of the Strategic Director, Environment which outlined the proposed formal response to the consultation being run by 4NW on the topic of Gypsy and Traveller accommodation needs as part of the Partial Review of the Regional Spatial Strategy. The consultation closed on the 27<sup>th</sup> March 2009.

Members were advised that 4NW, formerly the North West Regional Assembly, was the designated regional planning body for the North West of England. They had been asked by the Government to prepare, monitor and review the Regional Spatial Strategy (RSS) in partnership with others. The RSS was a regional plan that had to be taken into account when decisions were being made about planning applications. It provided a spatial framework for development in the region and for other regional strategies and it promoted the sustainable development of the North West.

Members were advised that currently a Partial Review of the RSS was underway due to the need to complete unfinished policy work within the RSS. This Partial Review covered three key subject areas of Gypsies and Travellers, Travelling Show People and Car Parking Standards.

It was noted that the Council currently provided 23 pitches at Riverview Residential Caravan Site in Widnes. A new local authority run site was opened in January 2009 in Warrington Road, Runcorn, next to the existing private site. This new site provided 4 permanent pitches and 10 transit pitches. There were two private sites in Runcorn at Windmill Street and Warrington Road; these two sites provided 13 pitches. In total there were 40 permanent pitches and 10 transit pitches currently provided in Halton.

It was further noted that the accommodation for Gypsies and Travellers was dealt with by an Interim Draft Policy L6 – Scale and Distribution of Gypsy and Traveller Pitch Provision. It was this policy that was the subject of the consultation. This policy had a policy start date of 2007, therefore all accommodation provision since made from 2007 would be counted towards policy target for pitch numbers.

Within Policy L6 was a table of pitch provision to be achieved by each individual North West authority by 2016. Policy L6 indicated that Halton should provide by 2016 an additional minimum of 60 permanent pitches. The policy also indicated that a further 3% compound increased on an annual basis should be achieved to 2021 and for Halton this would be a further 15 permanent pitches. The policy therefore suggested that by 2021 Halton should provide a total of 111 permanent pitches. The policy made a

distinction between permanent and transit pitches and the policy indicated that 5 additional transit pitches should be provided by Halton by 2016. However, as Halton's new site at Warrington Road provided 10 transit pitches, Halton would have already met its allocation apportionment under the draft policy.

The Board was advised that the Council must respond to the consultation using a structured and formatted response form. This consultation response form asked a series of questions with regard to the Interim Draft Policy L6. The first question to deal with the issues of concern asked for a yes or a no response to whether the Council supports policy L6. Question 4 asked for the reasoning behind the response to Question 3. It was recommended that the response to Question 3 would be given as "No". This response was justified on the basis that the policy did not adequately address the issue of distribution in the policy wording. Currently, there was no acknowledgement of the fact that the last round of consultation in July 2008 concluded that provision for Gypsies and Travellers should be undertaken by way of a more balanced share of provision across districts. This approach sought to seek pitch provision distributed to meet the requirements of the Gypsies and Travellers. During the July 2008 consultation this approach was known as Option 3. For the purposes of clarity and avoidance of doubt, the policy text should acknowledge that this was the basis upon which pitch provision would be made and monitored.

It was further noted that question 5 on the consultation response form dealt with the main issue of contention, notably the provisional figure for Halton to provide an additional 60 permanent pitches in the Borough. It was recommended that the response to Question 5 be given as "No". In question 6 we were asked to justify this response, the response to question 6 was outlined in detail in the report.

It was advised that the draft RSS policy figure of 300 across the Cheshire Sub-regional Partnership had then been apportioned, by a no scientific method, to all those authorities in the Cheshire Partnership. The results of this were set out in the report.

In Interim Policy L6 the Halton apportionment figure had been given as 60 pitches. This represented 20% of the sub-regional apportionment. This represented a fifth of the requirement, yet there were nine authorities in the Cheshire Partnership. Halton was the smallest of these nine partners

in terms of geographical area and had little land available to accommodate further provision. Some account should also therefore be taken of provision in the context of the geographical size of Councils, which would result in neighbouring authorities' targets being increase relative to Halton. There was little land available in Halton upon which to accommodate such large numbers of pitches. In terms of current pitch provision, only Congleton and St. Helens provided more pitches than Halton. In terms of equity and choice, greater provision should be made in other districts where the Gypsy and Traveller community wished to settle to ensure sustainability, but not to the extend that some Council's had to do nothing.

It was further advised that some attempt should be made to redistribute the assessed need for pitches to ensure a more even provision between Councils, particularly to those who have little or no existing provision and should also focus on those Councils with no Council-owned sites.

Any provision for Halton should be reduced by the number of pitches included in the new development in Runcorn that comprised 4 permanent pitches and 10 transit sites. Consequently, the assessed need should reduce accordingly. It was accepted that this development occurred after the needs assessment that informed RSS figures. It was understood that, as the Interim Draft RSS Policy L6 had a starting date of 2007 this provision would be taken into account in considering Halton's apportionment.

Members were advised that for the above reasons, Halton did not feel that the evidence produced to support the Interim Draft Policy L6 substantiated the pitch provision figures for Halton. The greatest provision should be made in the areas highlighted by the Gypsy and Traveller communities and those authorities currently offering no local authority run sites.

### **RESOLVED: That**

- (1) the content of the report be formalised as the response from this Council to the consultation being run by 4 NW on Gypsy and Traveller accommodation needs;
- (2) Halton's evidence be enhanced via research into the waiting list held for Riverview Caravan Site to see how many people were still actively seeking accommodation in Halton; and

(3) the Council strongly object to the proposals in RSS Interim Draft Policy L6 for Halton to provide 60 additional permanent pitches.

## NEIGHBOURHOOD MANAGEMENT DEVELOPMENT PORTFOLIO

EXB123 VOLUNTARY SECTOR FUNDING GRANT ALLOCATION 2009-10

The Board received a report of the Strategic Director, Health and Community which recommended Voluntary Sector Grant Awards for 2009/10.

It was noted that in a report to Executive Board on 3<sup>rd</sup> January 2002 the expectations for voluntary sector funding were established. It was agreed that awards be judged against agreed criteria and actual targets be negotiated prior to signing a service level agreement. Targets were now linked to both departmental and corporate priorities reflecting a more targeted approach to funding.

It was further noted that applications were assessed and recommendations agreed by a Members Panel consisting of the Executive Board Member with portfolio responsibility for the Voluntary Sector and the Chair and Vice Chair of the Employment, Learning and Skills Policy and Performance Board.

The monitoring arrangements for grants was set out in the report and a list of recommended grants were also set out; the recommendations were in the context of the budget allocation and the Panel's assessment. These recommendations were for an annual allocation for the financial year 2009/10. The budget available was £262,150.

	2008/09	2009/10
Cheshire Asbestos Victims Support	£13,300	£13,300
Cheshire Racial Equality Council	£6,000	£6,000
Cheshire Victim Support	£7,400	£7,400
Halton Citizens Advice Bureaux	£139,000	£139,000

Halton Voluntary Action *	£57.000	£55,903
Halton Talking Newspapers**	£600	£0
Rape and Sexual Abuse Centre	£3,200	£3,200
Relate	£9,200	£9,200
Runcorn & Frodsham Mencap	£2,400	£2,400
Samaritans	£4,000	£4,000
Vision Support	£8,200	£8,200
Warrington Law Centre ***	0	0
Widnes & Runcorn Cancer Support Group	£11,848	£11,848
TOTAL	£262,148	£260,451

# \* Organisation requested less for next financial year - 09/10 :-

• Halton Voluntary Action requested a lesser amount in their application.

### \*\* Organisation did not apply for funding for 2009/10

Halton Talking Newspapers did not submit an application for funding for 2009/10

### \*\*\*Application not recommended to receive funding: -

• Warrington Law Centre - £16,000

This organisation previously received a grant in 2007/8 the cases being dealt with were debt and welfare rights as opposed to special housing advice. The Panel recommended not to fund in 2008/09 and wished to uphold this recommendation for 2009/10. Warrington Law Centre continued to provide assistance at RuncornCourt through their Legal Services Commission contract and Halton

residents could also be referred to Shelter for specialist housing advice.

**RESOLVED: That** 

- (1) Members of the Executive Board approve the recommended grant allocations; and
- (2) further applications be approved by the Strategic Director, Health and Community, in consultation with the Neighbourhood Management Development Portfolio Holder.

### **CORPORATE SERVICES PORTFOLIO**

EXB124 POLICY FOR THE TRANSFER OF ASSETS TO THE THIRD SECTOR

The Board received a report of the Strategic Director Corporate and Policy which sought the Board's approval to an Asset Transfer Policy to be applied in circumstances where the transfer of land or property to the third sector could be seen to be addressing Community Strategy priorities and making financial sense to the authority.

It was noted that the Council had over many years supported organisations in the third sector in a variety of ways that provided support to the Council's priorities. This support had included the letting of surplus properties to such organisations. These arrangements had, however, been on an ad-hoc basis.

Following the publication of the Quirk Review (commissioned by the Government) in 2007 through which local community groups were encouraged to approach their local Councils to see if the local authority had any surplus assets capable of being used to support the delivery of their services, more requests were being made for the Council to transfer their assets to the third sector.

It was felt that in order to address such request, a more formal approach was needed to deal with them. The Corporate Services Policy and Performance Board included this topic in its 2008/09 work programme and had developed such a policy for consideration by the Executive Board. The Board had recommended the attached policy for adoption.

It was further noted that the first consideration in all cases would be whether the Council considered the land/

building to be surplus in the first place. This would precede any further application of the policy. This would need to be undertaken by balancing the potential commercial value of the asset against any potential use, always taking into account the wider financial implications for the Council. In particular, the need to generate capital receipts to support the Council's Capital Programme.

#### **RESOLVED: That**

- (1) the work done by the Corporate Services Working Party be received;
- (2) the Asset Transfer Policy be formally adopted, subject to any final amendments; and
- (3) delegated authority be given to the Strategic Director, Corporate and Policy, in consultation with the relevant portfolio holder to approve final amendments as necessary.

# EXB125 SCHEDULE 12A OF THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT ACT 1972 AND THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT (ACCESS TO INFORMATION) ACT 1985

### The Board considered:

- (1) whether Members of the press and public should be excluded from the meeting of the Board during consideration of the following item of business in accordance with Sub-Section 4 of Section 100A of the Local Government Act 1972 because it was likely that, in view of the nature of the business to be considered, exempt information would be disclosed, being information defined in Section 100 (1) and paragraph 3 of Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972; and
- (2) whether the disclosure of information was in the public interest, whether any relevant exemptions were applicable and whether, when applying the public interest test and exemptions, the public interest in maintaining the exemption outweighed that in disclosing the information.

RESOLVED: That as, in all the circumstances of the case, the public interest in maintaining the exemption outweighs the public interest in disclosing the information, members of the press and public be excluded from the meeting during consideration of the following item of

business in accordance with Sub-Section 4 of Section 100A of the Local Government Act 1972 because it is likely that, in view of the nature of the business, exempt information will be disclosed, being information defined in Section 100 (1) and paragraph 3 of Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972.

### **CORPORATE SERVICES PORTFOLIO**

### EXB126 EFFICIENCY PARTNER - CONTRACT ARRANGEMENTS

The Board received a report of the Strategic Director, Corporate and Policy which advised Members of the contract arrangements with the Council's Efficiency Partner (KPMG), in accordance with the Executive Board Minute No. EXB74/2008 and to provide an update on progress.

RESOLVED: That the report be noted.

**MINUTES ISSUED: 30 March 2009** 

CALL IN: 6<sup>th</sup> April 2009

Any matter decided by the Executive Board may be called in no later than 6<sup>th</sup> April 2009.

Meeting ended at 2.30 p.m.